Investment Strategy Statement

1. Introduction and background

This is the Investment Strategy Statement ("ISS") of the London Borough of Brent Pension Fund ("the Fund"), which is administered by Brent Council, ("the Administering Authority"). The ISS is made in accordance with Regulation 7 of the Local Government Pension Scheme (Management and Investment of Funds) Regulations 2016 ("the Regulations").

The ISS has been prepared by the Fund's Pension Committee ("the Committee") having taken advice from the Fund's investment adviser, Hymans Robertson LLP. The Committee acts on the delegated authority of the Administering Authority.

The ISS, which was approved by the Committee on 4 October 2023, is subject to periodic review at least every three years and without delay after any significant change in investment policy. The Committee has consulted on the contents of the Fund's investment strategy with such persons it considers appropriate.

The Committee seeks to invest in accordance with the ISS, any Fund money that is not needed immediately to make payments from the Fund. The ISS should be read in conjunction with the Fund's Funding Strategy Statement dated February 2023.

The Committee has agreed the following long-term target investment strategy for the Fund. It will be necessary for the Fund to allow time for these arrangements to take effect, hence the interim target allocation shown below.

Asset class	Interim target allocation (%)	Long-term target allocation (%)
Global equities	40.0	40.0
UK equities	5.0	5.0
Emerging markets equities	5.0	5.0
Private equity	2.5	-
Total Growth	**52.5**	**50.0**
Property	2.5	10.0
Infrastructure	5.0	15.0
Private debt	5.0	5.0
Diversified growth	20.0	5.0
Total Income	**32.5**	**35.0**
Multi-asset credit	5.0	5.0
Government gilts	10.0	10.0
Total Protection	**15.0**	**15.0**
Total	**100.0**	**100.0**

2. The suitability of particular investments and types of investments

The primary objective of the Fund is to provide pension and lump sum benefits for members on their retirement and/or benefits on death, before or after retirement, for their dependants, on a defined benefits basis. The funding position will be reviewed at each triennial actuarial valuation, or more frequently as required.

The Committee aims to fund the Fund in such a manner that, in normal market conditions, all accrued benefits are fully covered by the value of the Fund's assets and that an appropriate level of contributions is agreed by the employer to meet the cost of future benefits accruing. For employee members, benefits will be based on service completed but will take account of future salary and/or inflation increases.

The Committee has translated its objectives into a suitable strategic asset allocation benchmark for the Fund. This benchmark is consistent with the Committee’s views on the appropriate balance between generating a satisfactory long-term return on investments whilst taking account of market volatility and risk and the nature of the Fund’s liabilities.

It is intended that the Fund’s investment strategy will be reviewed at least every three years following actuarial valuations of the Fund. The approach that the Fund has taken to setting an appropriate investment strategy is set out below.

In 2023, the Fund carried out an asset-liability modelling exercise in conjunction with the 2022 actuarial valuation. The Fund’s liability data from the valuation was used in the modelling, and the implications of adopting a range of alternative investment strategies were assessed. The implications for the future evolution of the Fund were considered under a wide range of different scenarios.

This approach helps to ensure that the investment strategy takes due account of the maturity profile of the Fund (in terms of the relative proportions of liabilities in respect of pensioners, deferred and active members), together with the level of disclosed surplus or deficit (relative to the funding bases used).

The Committee assessed the likelihood of achieving their long-term funding target – which was defined at that time as achieving and maintaining a fully funded position in 20 years’ time.

A summary of the expected returns and volatility for each asset class is included later in this statement. In addition, the Committee monitors investment strategy on an ongoing basis, focusing on factors including, but not limited to:

- Suitability given the Fund’s level of funding and liability profile
- The level of expected risk
- Outlook for asset returns
- Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) factors

The Committee also monitors the Fund’s actual allocation on a regular basis to ensure it does not notably deviate from the target allocation, accepting that given the nature of some of the underlying investments, it may take the Fund time to move towards the target allocation.

The Committee reviews the asset allocation at each quarterly meeting. The review is based on the latest published quarterly investment performance report, supported by more up to date information where available. Rebalancing activity is at the discretion of the Committee and is only made between the Fund’s liquid assets. Among the factors taken into account by the Committee in its decisions are:

- the materiality of under and overweight positions;

- any asset transitions that are already scheduled;
- market views on the relative attractiveness of different asset classes;
- liquidity and transaction costs; and
- the confidence of the Committee in the managers' ability to meet performance targets, informed by manager ratings provided by the Fund's investment adviser.

To help inform rebalancing decisions, the Committee has set the following upper limits to inform rebalancing:

Asset class	Interim target allocation (%)	Long-term target allocation (%)	Upper limit for rebalancing (%)
Listed equities	50.0	50.0	60.0
Diversified Growth	20.0	5.0	25.0
Multi-asset credit	5.0	5.0	10.0
Government gilts	10.0	10.0	15.0
Cash	0.0	0.0	5.0

3. Investment of money in a wide variety of investments

Asset classes

The Fund may invest in quoted and unquoted securities of UK and overseas markets including equities and fixed interest and index linked bonds, cash, property and commodities either directly or through pooled funds. The Fund may also make use of contracts for differences and other derivatives either directly or in pooled funds investing in these products for the purpose of efficient portfolio management or to hedge specific risks.

The Committee reviews the nature of Fund investments on a regular basis, with particular reference to suitability and diversification. The Committee seeks and considers written advice from a suitably qualified person in undertaking such a review. If, at any time, investment in a security or product not previously known to the Committee is proposed, appropriate advice is sought and considered to ensure its suitability and diversification.

The Fund's target investment strategy is set out on page 1. In line with the Regulations, the authority's investment strategy does not permit more than 5% of the total value of all investments of fund money to be invested in entities which are connected with that authority within the meaning of section 212 of the Local Government and Public Involvement in Health Act 2007.

The latest investment strategy review took place in February 2023. At this time the Committee agreed to maintain the current long-term strategic allocation that was agreed following the 2019 actuarial valuation.

The Committee is aware that private market investments take time to invest in, with money committed but not drawn down immediately. This investment phase can take several years. During this investment period the Fund will retain its existing 20% target allocation to Diversified Growth Funds, which will be monitored and regularly assessed in in anticipation of its longer-term reduction in size.

The long-term asset class returns assumed within the asset-liability modelling exercise were as follows. These returns reflect financial conditions as at 31 March 2022.

Asset class	Median expected return[1] over 20 years (% p.a.)
UK equities	6.2%
Global equities	6.3%
Emerging markets equities	6.3%
Property	5.0%
Infrastructure	6.5%
Private debt	9.8%
Diversified growth funds	4.9%
Multi-asset credit	6.7%
Government gilts	4.4%
Total Fund	6.0%

At 31 March 2022, the expected volatility[2] of the investment strategy over 1 year was 13.1%. This volatility includes an assumed diversification benefit. Further details on the Fund's risks, including the approach to mitigating risks, is provided in the following section.

Restrictions on investment

The Regulations have removed the previous restrictions that applied under the Local Government Pension Scheme (Management and Investment of Funds) Regulations 2009. The Fund has agreed a number of its own restrictions as set out in the table below. All other investment restrictions will be negotiated with fund managers or the London CIV, subject to the Fund receiving appropriate investment and/or legal advice.

Types of investment	Maximum investment by the Fund (% of assets)
Contributions in any single partnership	5%
Contributions invested in partnerships	30%
Cash deposits	10%
Investment with any single manager strategy either directly or via the London CIV (excluding investments in passive index-tracking strategies	15%
Total investment in illiquid assets[3]	30%

[1] This indicates that over a 20 year period, there is a 50% chance that the actual annualised return will be higher, and a 50% chance that the actual annualised return will be lower, than the median expected return.
[2] A volatility of 13.1% indicates that over 1 year there is a 2/3rds chance that the actual return over this period will be within +/- 13.1% of the expected return assessed over the same 1 year period.
[3] This represents a maximum at the point of investment. The impact of market volatility will be assessed separately.

Managers

The Committee has appointed a number of investment managers all of whom are authorised under the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 to undertake investment business.

The Committee, after seeking appropriate investment advice, has agreed specific benchmarks with each manager so that, in aggregate, they are consistent with the overall asset allocation for the Fund. The Fund's investment managers will hold a mix of investments which reflects their views relative to their respective benchmarks. Within each major market and asset class, the managers will maintain diversified portfolios through direct investment or pooled vehicles. The manager of the index-tracking funds in which the Fund invests holds a mix of investments within each pooled fund that reflects that of their respective benchmark indices.

4. The approach to risk, including the ways in which risks are to be measured and managed

The Committee is aware that the Fund has a need to take risk (e.g. investing in growth assets) to help it achieve its funding objectives. It has an active risk management programme in place that aims to help it identify the risks being taken and put in place processes to manage, measure, monitor and (where possible) mitigate the risks being taken. One of the Committee's overarching beliefs is to only to take as much investment risk as is necessary.

The principal risks affecting the Fund are set out below, we also discuss the Fund's approach to managing these risks and the contingency plans that are in place:

Funding risks

Key funding risks considered include:

- Financial mismatch – The risk that Fund assets fail to grow in line with the developing cost of meeting the liabilities.
- Changing demographics – The risk that longevity improves and other demographic factors change, increasing the cost of Fund benefits.
- Systemic risk – The possibility of an interlinked and simultaneous failure of several asset classes and/or investment managers, possibly compounded by financial 'contagion', resulting in an increase in the cost of meeting the Fund's liabilities.

The Committee measures and manages financial mismatch in two ways.

- As indicated above, the Committee has set a strategic asset allocation benchmark for the Fund. This benchmark was set taking into account asset liability modelling which focused on probability of success and level of downside risk. The results from the analysis carried out in 2023 highlighted the Fund has a greater than 75% probability of being fully funded in 2041. The downside risk measure shows that the funding level in the average of the worst 5% of outcomes projected to 2028 is 38%. This analysis reflects the current investment strategy and level of agreed contributions and is based on financial conditions as at 31 March 2022. This analysis will be revisited as part of the 2025 valuation process.

- The Committee assesses risk relative to the strategic benchmark by monitoring the Fund's asset allocation and investment returns relative to the benchmark. The Committee also assesses risk relative to liabilities by monitoring the delivery of benchmark returns relative to liabilities.

The Committee also seeks to understand the assumptions used in any analysis and modelling so these can be compared to their own views and the level of risks associated with these assumptions to be assessed.

The Committee seeks to mitigate systemic risk through a diversified portfolio but it is not possible to make specific provision for all possible eventualities that may arise under this heading.

Asset risk

- Market risk – The risk that the market value of the Fund's assets falls.
- Concentration – The risk that a significant allocation to any single asset category and its underperformance relative to expectation would result in difficulties in achieving funding objectives.
- Illiquidity – The risk that the Fund cannot meet its immediate liabilities because it has insufficient liquid assets.
- Currency risk – The risk that the currency of the Fund's assets underperforms relative to Sterling (i.e. the currency of the liabilities).
- Manager underperformance – The failure by the fund managers to achieve the rate of investment return assumed in setting their mandates.
- Environmental, social and governance ("ESG") – The risk that ESG related factors reduce the Fund's ability to generate the long-term returns.
- Climate change – The extent to which climate change causes a material deterioration in asset values as a consequence of factors including but not limited to policy change, physical impacts and the expected transition to a low-carbon economy.
- Geopolitical risk – The risk of underperformance driven by unexpected changes or events involving political, military or trade factors.

The Committee measures and manages asset risks as follows.

The Fund's strategic asset allocation benchmark invests in a diversified range of asset classes. The Committee has put in place re-balancing arrangements to ensure the Fund's "actual allocation" does not deviate substantially from its target. The Fund invests in a range of investment mandates each of which has a defined objective, performance benchmark and manager process which, taken in aggregate, help reduce the Fund's asset concentration risk.

By investing across a range of assets, including liquid quoted equities and bonds, as well as property, the Committee has recognised the need for access to liquidity in the short term.

The Fund invests in a range of overseas markets which provides a diversified approach to currency markets; the Committee also assess the Fund's currency risk during their risk analysis. Details of the Fund's approach to managing ESG risks is set out later in this document.

The Committee has considered the risk of underperformance by any single investment manager and have attempted to reduce this risk by appointing more than one manager [and having a proportion of the Scheme's assets managed on a passive basis]. The Committee assess the Fund's managers' performance on a regular basis, and will take steps, including potentially replacing one or more of their managers, if underperformance persists.

Other provider risk

- Transition risk – The risk of incurring unexpected costs in relation to the transition of assets among managers. When carrying out significant transitions, the Committee seeks suitable professional advice.
- Custody risk – The risk of losing economic rights to Fund assets, when held in custody or when being traded.
- Credit default – The possibility of default of a counterparty in meeting its obligations.

- Stock-lending – The possibility of default and loss of economic rights to Fund assets.

The Committee monitors and manages risks in these areas through a process of regular scrutiny of its providers, and audit of the operations it conducts for the Fund, or has delegated such monitoring and management of risk to the appointed investment managers as appropriate (e.g. custody risk in relation to pooled funds). The Committee has the power to replace a provider should serious concerns exist.

A separate schedule of risks that the Fund monitors is set out in the Fund's Funding Strategy Statement.

5. Approach to pooling investments, including use of collective investment vehicles and shared services

The Fund is a participating scheme in the London CIV Pool. The proposed structure and basis on which the London CIV Pool ("the Pool") will operate was set out in the July 2016 submission to Government.

Assets to be invested in the London CIV Pool

The Fund's intention is to invest its assets through the Pool as and when suitable Pool investment solutions become available. An indicative timetable for investing through the Pool was set out in the July 2016 submission to Government. They key criteria for assessment of Pool solutions will be as follows:

1. That the Pool enables access to an appropriate solution that meets the objectives and benchmark criteria set by the Fund
2. That there is a clear financial benefit to the Fund in investing in the solution offered by the Pool, should a change of provider be necessary.

At the time of preparing this statement the Fund has already invested the following assets via the Pool. Note this includes investments in index-tracking equity funds with BlackRock and LGIM, which are commonly regarded as pooled assets even though they sit outside of the Pool.

Asset class	Manager	% of total Fund assets[4]
Global equities (index-tracking)	LGIM	43.7
Global equities (index-tracking)	BlackRock	2.5
UK equities (index-tracking)	LGIM	6.3
Emerging market equities	LCIV (JP Morgan)	3.9
Diversified Growth	LCIV (Baillie Gifford)	11.1
Diversified Growth	LCIV (Ruffer)	8.8
Infrastructure	LCIV multi-manager	3.3
Private debt	LCIV multi-manager	3.1
Multi-asset credit	LCIV multi-manager	3.7
Gilts	BlackRock	4.9

[4] Actual allocation as at 31 March 2023. Note cash holding of 2.5% is in addition to these amounts. Percentage allocations shown are subject to rounding.

Total	91.3

At the time of preparing this statement the Fund has elected not to invest the following assets via the London CIV Pool.

Asset class	Manager	% of Fund assets[5]	Reason for not investing via the Pool
Private equity	Capital Dynamics	2.2	In wind down
Infrastructure	Capital Dynamics	0.2	In wind down
Infrastructure	Alinda	1.5	In wind down
Property	Fidelity	1.2	No equivalent fund available via the Pool
Property	UBS	1.0	No equivalent fund available via the Pool
Total		6.1	

The Fund will consider participating in pooling arrangements for the current and/or future property investment investments if a suitable solution is made available by the Pool.

Any assets not currently invested in the Pool will be reviewed at least every three years to determine whether the rationale remains appropriate, and whether it continues to demonstrate value for money. The next such review will take place no later than 2026.

Structure and governance of the London CIV Pool
The July 2016 submission to Government of the Pool provided a statement addressing the structure and governance of the Pool, the mechanisms by which the Fund can hold the Pool to account and the services that will be shared or jointly procured. As the Pool develops and the structure and governance of the Pool are fully established the Fund will include this information in future iterations of the ISS. In the meantime, further information is provided on the London CIV's website (https://londonciv.org.uk/).

6. How social, environmental or corporate governance considerations are taken into account in the selection, non-selection, retention and realisation of investments

The Fund invests on the basis of financial risk and return, having considered a full range of factors, including environmental, social, and corporate governance (ESG) factors where these present financial risks to the delivery of portfolio objectives and therefore impact on the sustainability of the Fund's returns.

The Fund therefore requires its investment managers to integrate all material financial factors, including ESG considerations, into their investment analysis and decision-making for all fund investments.

The Fund's Investment Managers (and specifically the London Collective Investment Vehicle through which the Fund will increasingly invest) are also expected to undertake appropriate monitoring of current investments with regard to their policies and practices on all issues which could present a material financial risk to the long-term

[5] Actual allocation as at 31 March 2023. Note cash holding of 2.5% is in addition to these amounts. Percentage allocations are subject to rounding.

performance of the fund, including ESG factors. The Fund monitors this activity on an ongoing basis with the aim of maximising its impact and effectiveness.

Where appropriate, the Committee considers how it wishes to approach specific ESG factors in the context of its role in asset allocation and investment strategy setting. Taking into account the ratification in October 2016 of the Paris Agreement, the Committee considers that significant exposure to fossil fuel reserves within the Fund's portfolio could pose a material financial risk. As a result, the Committee has committed to undertaking a Carbon Risk Audit for the Fund, quantifying the Fund's exposure through its equity portfolio to fossil fuel reserves and power generation and where the greatest risks lie.

Once this audit has taken place the Committee intends to develop a plan to reduce the Fund's carbon exposure. The plan will be periodically reviewed to ensure that it remains consistent with the risks associated with investment in carbon assets and with the Committee's fiduciary duties.

A key consideration in developing this plan, including the setting of any intermediate targets, will be the London CIV's own plans to reduce the carbon exposure of the funds it oversees. Currently, c30% of the Fund's assets sit within the London CIV and this percentage is expected to grow over time.

At this stage, the Committee has not set a target timeframe for the Fund to become carbon neutral. This will be considered in more detail as part of the plan to reduce the Fund's carbon exposure. Some flexibility may be appropriate to allow the Fund to adjust the pace of the transition in the light of changing financial conditions or technological advances in certain sectors.

The Committee considers exposure to carbon risk in the context of its role in asset allocation and investment strategy setting. Consideration has therefore been given in setting the Fund's Investment Strategy to how this objective can be achieved within a pooled investment structure and the Committee, having taken professional advice, will work with the London CIV to ensure that suitable strategies are made available.

Where necessary, the Fund will also engage with its Investment Managers or the London CIV to address specific areas of carbon risk. The Fund expects its investment managers to integrate financially material ESG factors into their investment analysis and decision making and may engage with managers and the London CIV to ensure that the strategies it invests in remain appropriate for its needs.

The Committee consider the Fund's approach to responsible investment in two key areas:

- **Sustainable investment / ESG factors** – considering the financial impact of environmental, social and governance (ESG) factors (including climate change) on its investments.
- **Stewardship and governance** – acting as responsible and active investors/owners, through considered voting of shares, and engaging with investee company management as part of the investment process.

In light of the latest investment strategy review and the Fund's increased focus and importance of responsible investment, the Fund has bolstered its beliefs in this area, specifically:

- Ongoing engagement is preferable to divestment
- We must act as responsible owners
- The Fund's investment managers should embed the consideration of ESG factors into their investment process and decision-making

Further details are set out in the Fund's Responsible Investment Policy which can be found here.

The Fund's investment beliefs can be found in the appendix.

The Committee takes ESG matters very seriously. Its investment beliefs include explicit statements relating to ESG and climate change. The ESG criteria of its existing investment investments are assessed on an ongoing basis and ESG is a key consideration when assessing the relative merits of any potential new Fund investments. The Fund also conducts an annual review of its:

- Policies in this area,
- Investment managers' approach to responsible investing; and
- Members' training needs and implements training to reflect these needs.

At the present time the Committee does not take into account non-financial factors when selecting, retaining, or realising its investments. The Committee understand the Fund is not able to exclude investments in order to pursue boycotts, divestment and sanctions against foreign nations and UK defence industries.

The London CIV itself is committed to responsible investment and duly recognises the role of ESG factors in the investment decision making process, evidenced by its own 'responsible investment policy'. The Fund is supportive of this and will monitor the policy on a regular basis as more assets transfer into the pool to ensure consistency with its own beliefs. Details of the investment managers' governance principles can be found on their websites.

7. The exercise of rights (including voting rights) attaching to investments

Voting rights

The Committee considers the Fund's approach to stewardship also as a key area by acting as a responsible and active investor, by commissioning considered voting on the Fund's behalf as shareholders, and by indirectly engaging with investee company management as part of the investment process.

The Committee has delegated the exercise of voting rights to the investment managers on the basis that voting power will be exercised by them with the objective of preserving and enhancing long term shareholder value. Accordingly, the Fund's managers have produced written guidelines of their process and practice in this regard. The managers are strongly encouraged to vote in line with their guidelines in respect of all resolutions at annual and extraordinary general meetings of companies under Regulation 7(2)(f). The Committee monitor the voting decisions made by all its investment managers on a regular basis.

Engagement

The Committee endorses the Stewardship Code as published by the Financial Reporting Council.

The Committee expects both the London CIV and any directly appointed fund managers to be signatories to the UK Stewardship Code 2020.

In addition, the Fund believes in collective engagement and is a member of the LAPFF, through which it collectively exercises a voice across a range of corporate governance issues. In addition to the Fund's compliance with the Stewardship Code, the Fund believes in collective engagement and is a member of the Local Authority Pension Fund Forum (LAPFF), through which it collectively exercises a voice across a range of corporate governance issues.

The Committee supports engagement activity that seeks to:

- Achieve greater disclosure of information on the ESG-related risks that could affect the value of an investment
- Achieve transparency of an investment's carbon exposure and how such companies are preparing for the transition to a low carbon economy

- Encourage its asset managers to actively participate in collaborative engagements with other investors where this is deemed to be in the best interests of the Fund.

Further details are set out in the Fund's Responsible Investment Policy which can be found here.

Investments made via the London Pool are subject to its Responsible Investment Policy, which is developed in consultation with all of the Pool's partner funds.

For and on behalf of London Borough of Brent Pension Fund Committee

Appendix – Investment beliefs

Core investment beliefs

Clear and well defined objectives are essential to achieve future success - the Committee is aware that there is a need to generate a sufficient level of return from the Fund's assets, while at the same time having a clear understanding of the potential risks and ensuring there is sufficient liquidity available to pay members' benefits as they fall due.

Strategic asset allocation is a key determinant of risk and return, and thus is typically more important than manager or stock selection - the Committee understands that having the appropriate strategy in place is a key driver of the Fund's future success. As a result, priority is given to more strategic investment matters. The Committee is aware that there is need to take investment risk in order to generate a sufficient level of return.

Return and risk should be considered relative to the Fund's liabilities, funding position and contribution strategy – the Committee believes that as the funding position of the Fund improves, the level of risk taken by the Fund should reduce as appropriate i.e. only take as much risk as necessary. The Committee believes that there exists a relationship between the level of investment risk taken and the rate of expected investment return. In reducing risk, the Fund's expected return would typically also reduce.

Long term investing provides opportunities for enhancing returns - As a long-term investor it is important that the Fund acts as an asset owner. As a long term investor, the Fund may choose to gain additional compensation by investing in assets that are illiquid or may be subject to higher levels of volatility (a premium return is required for any such investments).

Equities are expected to generate superior long term returns - the Committee believes that, over the longer term, equities are expected to outperform other liquid assets, in particular government bonds. However the Committee also recognise that equities can be highly volatile over the short-term.

Diversification reduces the overall volatility of the Fund's asset returns - the Committee believes that diversification across asset classes can help reduce the volatility of the Fund's overall asset value and improve its risk-return characteristics. However, the Committee also recognise that there is scope to over diversify and that any desire to diversify needs to be aligned to the Fund's governance arrangements.

Passive management has a role to play in the Fund's structure - The Committee recognises that passive management allows the Fund to access certain asset classes (e.g. equities) on a low cost basis and when combined with active management can help reduce the relative volatility of the Fund's performance. There is a belief that passive management is most suitable for markets that are deemed as being more efficient such as developed market equities.

Active management can add value but is not guaranteed – the Committee recognises that certain asset classes can only be accessed via active management. The Committee also recognises that active managers may be able to generate higher returns for the Fund (net of fees), or similar returns but at lower volatility, than equivalent passive exposure. There is a belief that active management is most suitable for markets that are deemed as being less efficient e.g. emerging market equities, specialist markets e.g. infrastructure or where views on the relative value of different asset classes are a targeted source of value e.g. DGF mandates.

Private markets can offer opportunities - Private markets can offer opportunities and give higher return due to higher illiquidity premia. However it is recognised that private markets can be more expensive, less transparent (e.g. fees and drivers of return), increase the Fund's governance burden and require ongoing maintenance to achieve target exposure. Such factors must be taken into account when considering such an allocation.

The choice of benchmark index matters – whilst active managers are expected to take ESG issues in their individual stock selection decisions, it is acknowledged that index-tracking managers will invest in line with the index set out in their mandate. The Committee recognises that, for each asset class, there is a range of benchmark indices that they could use. As a result, the Committee focus on the benchmark's underlying characteristics and consider how they may be appropriate for the Fund.

Rebalancing policies are important – the Committee recognises that rebalancing the Fund's assets towards the strategic asset allocation is important in achieving the Fund's longer term objectives, in particular following a period of strong or weak market performance.

Fees and transaction costs matter - The Committee considers the fees and costs of its investment arrangements to ensure the Fund is getting value for money and to minimise, as far as possible, any cost leakages from its investment process. It also does not seek to move in and out of investments regularly due to the cost drag. The Committee also seek to have transparency on the fees that it is paying to its providers.

Governance "budget" matters – The Committee recognises that the resources (and time) involved in deciding upon (and implementing) an investment strategy and structure play a part in any investment decisions made. A low governance approach to accessing markets is likely to be preferred if it can offer similar risk adjusted returns to alternative approaches.

The London CIV is the Fund's preferred approach to implementation – the Committee recognises the potential benefits of LGPS pooling. There preferred route is to implement their investment strategy via the London CIV, subject to carrying out suitable due diligence on the CIV's investment offering.

ESG-specific beliefs

Environmental, social and corporate governance ('ESG') issues can have a material impact on the long term performance of its investments - the Committee recognises that ESG issues can impact the Fund's returns. The Committee commits to an ongoing development of its ESG policy to ensure it reflects latest industry developments and regulations and ESG is integrated into strategic considerations.

Climate change and the expected transition to a low carbon economy is a long term financial risk to Fund outcomes - the Committee recognises that environmental issues can impact the Fund's returns. The Committee aims to be aware of, and monitor, financially material environmental-related risks and issues through the Fund's investment managers and advisors.

Ongoing engagement is preferable to divestment – The Committee believes that, in relation to ESG risks, ongoing engagement with investee companies is preferable to divestment. This engagement may be via our managers or alongside other investors (e.g. LAPFF). Where, over a considered period however, there is no evidence of a company making visible progress towards carbon reduction, we believe that divestment should be actively considered.

We must act as responsible owners – As asset owners in the 21st Century, we believe it is our responsibility to support the transition to a low carbon global economy, consistent with the aims of the Paris 2016 Climate Change agreement to limit temperature increases by 2050 to a maximum of 2°C degrees.

It is important for the Sub-Committee to integrate ESG issues when identifying investment opportunities – we will consider opportunities to make investments with a positive social or environmental impact subject to the risk and return characteristics being acceptable. Investments expected to have a "positive impact" can be considered if they are consistent with the overall objectives of the Fund's investment strategy.

The Fund’s investment managers should embed the consideration of ESG factors into their investment process and decision-making – Investment managers are responsible for implementing the Fund’s strategy. In this role, the managers should reflect the Fund’s desire for achieving long-term sustainable returns and improve corporate behaviour.

We will generally avoid investing in the most harmful companies and sectors – we believe we have a duty to consider the wider environmental and social impacts of investments. We believe that we should generally avoid investing in the most harmful companies and sectors.

Disclosure is important – we will encourage companies and investment managers to improve disclosure of their activity in relation to ESG issues. This will be addressed directly with managers, through involvement in the London CIV and also through membership of the LAPFF. We will also disclose the actions we are taking, including publishing this policy and incorporating our RI policies and approach into the way that we engage and communicate with members.